То

Sh. Mohan Parasaran,

Hon'ble Solicitor General of India, Govt. of India, New Delhi - 110001

Regarding information under R.T.L Act, 2005 Sub:

I beg to state that I want to have an information under Sir, R.T.I. Act as to what law says in the below noted case.

As per order contained in Director General of Posts, New Delhi No. C-14016/13/2004-VP dated 18.01.2006 (copy enclosed), penalty of cut of 10% on a permanent basis on the monthly pension is imposed on Sh. Harbhajan Singh.

In other words he has been punished by 10% cut on pension till death.

Sh. Harbhajan Singh submitted a Review Petition vide his application dated 28.05.2012 (copy enclosed).

Director General of Posts, New Delhi vide his No. Caddressed to Chief dated 02.05.2013 17015/107/2012-VP Postmaster General Panjab Circle, Chandigarh (copy enclosed) says that Review Petition under Rule 29-A of CCS(CCA) Rules,

1965 is not maintainable.

Counter: 1 <27/02/2014>

It is against the provisions of Art.

Constitution under which safeguards have been provided to interest of pensioners.

Under R.T.I. Act, 2005, it is requested to give your opinion about as to law whether the action of Director General of Posts, New Delhi not to allow the Review petition of Posts, New Delhi correct or not.

Sh. Harbhajan Singhis correct of not.

Rs. 10/- as R.T.I. Fee has been deposited at Jalandhar City H.O. and receipt thereon is pasted on the application.

D.A. As above

Yours sincerety,

(M.S. BHATIA) 94 31 1 

R.T.I. Activist

41-A Block

Model House,

Post Office Model Town,

Jalandhar-144003

Panjab

By Speed Post

F. No.21 (235)/2014-IC Government of India Ministry of Law & Justice Department of Legal Affairs IMPLEMENTATION CELL

> Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi. Dated 18.03.2014

To

Shri M.S. Bhatia, 41A Block, Model House, P.O.-Model Town, Jalandhar-144003 (Punjab)

Subject: -

Information under RTI Act, 2005.

Sir,

I am to refer to your application dated 04.03.2014 transferred by the Office of the Senior Supdt. Post Offices, Jalandhar Division, Jalandhar vide letter No.CR/14400101/R/2013/0026 dated 04.03.2014 (received on 07.03.2014) on the subject mentioned above and to say that an applicant's right under the RTI Act, 2005 extends only to seeking information as defined in Section 2 (f) of the Act. The information sought by you is in the nature of queries amounting to seeking legal advice and hence, the same is not covered within the ambit of the definition of 'information' as given under Section 2 (f) of the RTI Act, 2005.

2. Dr.(Smt.) Gita Rawat, Joint Secretary & Legal Adviser, Ministry of Law & Justice, Department of Legal Affairs, Room No. 408, 'A' Wing, 4<sup>th</sup> floor, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi-110001, Tel No. 23384777, is the 1st Appellate Authority. The period of limitation for filing the 1st Appeal is 30 days.

Yours faithfully,

(K.GINKHAN THANG)
DS&Central Public Information Officer

. Tel.No.23384706

2000 200 Toil

Or(Smit) Gita Rawat, Voint secretary and legal Advisor, ministry & Law a justice sept of legal I affairs Roam No 408, A wing 4th Shastri Bhawan, New - Delai Sulv-Regarding in formation under RT.1. Act-2005 APPEAL THEREON. Respected madein, I am sulimitting this appeal having not Doct central public officer vide his no F NO 21/235/2014-10 Bated 18-3-2014 ( copy enclosed) It is a case where premishment increase ofti? every B(SIN) months. The prencioner mas been prenioted for life is in other words wito Death. has been awarded plenishment 10% cut on pension 15KMA NENTY means up to death but there is no mention of Dearner payable on pendion. The Basic Pension of the pensioner's 6 870/ an Robert - no to 16 687/ From 14-06 There was a No Deagness & 587/- was being recovered every month. After every six 6 months the recovery increases Dearner of January and 1st of July me seconery misered on increa 123 pue To 100% Dearness hallet from 1-1- 2014 it @ outsted mean 1374/- is being deducted, The Rensioner has not made any misapprop riation or Fraud during the service.

In my view the officer who has assued these ers on behalf of President of India has misused should be for one two or those years, but the office perhaps did not know the quenciness of the order. that 12 one and half lac Rupees have leven New Vered from him, It is Violationi q article 311 g Constitution I India where safe guard has even provided to Rues 1972 As is clear from your of releter I sought in formation under A.T.1. Act 2005, but your office refused to give on the plea that it is just OS SE'EKING - LEGAL ADVICE. I request if your office has not to give legal Advice Them what for meant your office? Daily there happened to be the cases when law minister is being regusted to give its openi The matter and supply the in formation sought for yours since roly MISIBHATIA) 41-A Block, model House PO MODEL- TOWN. JALLAN 2HAR-144003

PANJAB.

BEFORE DR. GITA RAWAT, JOINT SECRETARY & FIRST APPELLATE AUTHORITY

(Under Section 19 of RTI Act, 2005) MINISTRY OF LAW & JUSTICE: DEPARTMENT OF LEGAL AFFAIRS ROOM NO. 408, 'A' WING, SHASTRI BHAWAN, NEW DELHI 110001

Appeal No.21(235)/2014-IC

Date: 27.05.2014.

### In the matter of

Shri M.S. Bhatia, 41 A Block, Model House, P.O. Model Town, Jalandhar-144003

Appellant

Versus

Central Public Information Officer Ministry of Law & Justice Department of Legal Affairs Shastri Bhawan NEW DELHI – 110001

Respondent

Date: 27.05.2014

#### **ORDER**

The appellant has filed an appeal on 2.4.2014 received on 9.4.2014 under Section 19 of the RTI Act for not getting the information furnished by the CPIO, the respondent vide letter No. 21(235)/2014 dated 18.03.2014.

### Brief facts of the case:

- 1. The appellant vide his application dated 4.3.2014 received on 07.03.2014 sought information relating to Nature of Quarries.
- 2. The respondent has collected the information from the concerned sections of this Ministry and sent the reply to the appellant vide letter No. 21(235)/2014-IC dated 18.3.2014.
- 3. Being aggrieved with the information of the respondent the appellant has filed an appeal received on 9.4.2014.

4. I undersigned have been appointed as Appellate Authority in the matter on. After perusal of the facts of the case it appears that no hearing is required in this matter.

# Observation

- On perusal of the record it appears that the information furnished by the respondent vide letter dated 28.1.2014 is the substantive response for the 5. information asked by the applicant in the original application.
- I have assessed the facts and circumstances of the case and come to the conclusion that the CPIO, the respondent has acted as per provisions of 6. Act. In view of keeping the principles of natural justice the appeal is rejected.
- The appellant may kindly note that since the proceeding has been closed. no further hearing may be conducted in this matter. Moreover, if there is 7. any grievance against the order of the Appellate Authority, he may file second appeal before the Hon'ble CIC sitting at 2<sup>nd</sup> Floor, August Kranti Bhawan, Bhikaji Cama Place, New Delhi 110066(within 90 days) i.e period as prescribed under the provision of RTI Act, 2005.

(DR. GITA RAWAT)

Joint Secretary & Appellate Authority

Date: 27.5.2014

# Copy to:

- Shri M.S. Bhatia, 41 A Block, Model House, P.O. Model Town, 1. Jalandhar-144003
- Smt. Asha Sota, CPIO/Under Secretary, Deptt. of Legal Affairs. 2.